

VS.

§§Case No.§§

ORDER GOVERNING PROCEEDINGS

This Order shall govern proceedings in this case. The following deadlines are hereby set:
Rule 26(f) attorney conference on or before:
Complete initial mandatory disclosure required by this order: 28 days after deadline for Rule 26(f) conference.
File joint report of attorney conference: 35 days after deadline for Rule 26(f) conference.
The case is SET for a Rule 16 management conference on, at
authority to bind their respective clients, and all unrepresented parties, shall be present. Continuance of the management conference will not be allowed absent a showing of good cause ¹ .

Rule 26(f) Attorney Conference

Rule 26(f) requires attorneys of record and all unrepresented parties to confer and attempt in good faith to agree on a proposed scheduling order (See Appendix 2) and to file with the clerk **a joint report** outlining their proposals. The conference may be by telephone.

¹ Before the case management conference, counsel and unrepresented parties should review the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (as most recently amended) and the LOCAL COURT RULES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (as most recently amended). The LOCAL COURT RULES are available on the Eastern District of Texas website (http://www.txed.uscourts.gov)

Before commencing the Rule 26(f) conference, counsel must discuss settlement options with their clients, including whether an offer or demand should be made at the Rule 26(f) attorney conference. Counsel should also inquire whether their clients are amenable to trial before a United States magistrate judge. Parties willing to consent should submit the appropriate form (appendix "B" to the Local Rules for the Eastern District of Texas) to the district clerk as soon as possible so that the case can be reset for management conference before the magistrate judge to whom the case is assigned.

The parties must include the following matters in the joint conference report.

- (1) A brief factual and legal synopsis of the case.
- (2) Confirm that initial mandatory disclosure required by Rule 26(a)(1) and this order has been completed.
- (3) Proposed scheduling order deadlines. Appendix 2 has standard deadlines. Explain any major deviations from standard schedule.
- (4) If the parties believe mediation may be appropriate, and the parties can agree upon a mediator, the name, address, and phone number of that mediator, and a proposed deadline should be stated.
- (5) The identity of persons expected to be deposed.
- (6) Whether any other orders should be entered by the Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) or Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c).
- (7) Estimated trial time.
- (8) The names of the attorneys who will appear on behalf of the parties at the management conference (the appearing attorney must be an attorney of record and have full authority to bind the client).
- (9) Any other matters counsel deem appropriate for inclusion in the joint conference report.

<u>Discovery</u>

Initial mandatory disclosure pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1) shall be completed not later than 28 days after the deadline for the Rule 26 attorney conference and shall include the following in addition to information required by Rule 26(a)(1).

- (1) the correct names of the parties to the action;
- (2) the name and, if known, address and telephone number of any potential parties to the action;
- (3) the name and, if known, the address and telephone number of **persons having knowledge of facts relevant to the claim or defense of any party**, a brief characterization of their connection to the case and a fair summary of the substance of the information known by such person (may be combined with list of persons required under Rule 26(a)(1)(A) so two lists are not needed);
- (4) the authorizations described in Local Rule CV-34; and
- (5) **a copy of** all documents, data compilations, witness statements and tangible things in the possession, custody or control of the disclosing party that are **relevant to the claim or defense of any party** (may be combined with disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1)(B) so duplication is avoided). In cases involving a disproportionate burden of disclosure of a large number of documents on one party, the parties may agree on prior inspection to reduce the need for copying. Parties are encouraged to agree upon provision of information by electronic means.

See Local Rule CV-26(d) for meaning of "relevant to the claim or defense of any party." A party that fails to timely disclose such information will not, unless such failure is harmless, be permitted to use such evidence at trial, hearing or in support of a motion. A party is not excused from making its disclosures because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case.

Discovery shall not commence until the deadline set for the attorneys to confer under Rule 26(f). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d). **Initial mandatory disclosure shall occur before the Rule 16 management conference** so that counsel are in a position to intelligently discuss required discovery, and scheduling of the case. Following the management conference, the Court will enter a scheduling order setting deadlines controlling disposition of the case.

The fact that the scheduling order will have a deadline for completion of discovery **is NOT an invitation, or authorization, to withhold documents or information** required to be disclosed as part of initial mandatory disclosure, under the guise of "supplementation." Attorneys are expected to review their client's files and to conduct at least preliminary interviews of their clients and potential witnesses under their control, so as to fully comply with the initial mandatory disclosure requirements by the deadline set in this order. This will allow experts to be timely identified and prepared to testify, witnesses to be efficiently deposed, and any follow-up paper discovery to be completed by the deadline to be set for completion of discovery. The court expects that, in most cases, after reading the pleadings and having a frank discussion of the issues and discovery during the Rule 26 attorney conference, a review of the opposing party's initial

disclosures, should alert an attorney to any remaining information which should have been disclosed, so that such information can be provided before the Rule 16 management conference. A party asserting that any information is confidential should immediately apply to the court for entry of a protective order. Unless a request is made for modification, the court will use the form found at the Eastern District website.

Failure to Serve

Any defendant who has not been served with the summons and complaint within **130 days** after the filing of the complaint **shall be dismissed, without further notice**, unless prior to such time the party on whose behalf such service is required shows good cause why service has not been made. (*See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).)

Fictitious Parties

The name of every party shall be set out in the complaint (Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a)). The use of fictitious names is disfavored by federal courts. *Doe v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield*, 112 F.3d. 869 (7th Cir. 1997). It is hereby **ORDERED** that this action be **DISMISSED** as to all fictitious parties. Dismissal is without prejudice to the right of any party to take advantage of the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c).

Contested Motion Practice

Parties shall comply with the guidelines in Appendix 1-Contested Motion Practice.

Discovery Disputes

A magistrate judge is available during business hours to immediately hear discovery disputes and to enforce provisions of the rules. The hotline is an appropriate means to obtain an immediate ruling on whether a discovery request is relevant to the claims or defenses and on disputes which arise during depositions. The hotline number is (903) 590-1198. *See* Local Rule CV-26(e).

Settlement

Plaintiff's counsel shall immediately notify the court upon settlement.

Compliance

Attorneys and *pro se* litigants who appear in this Court must comply with the deadlines set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules for the Eastern District of Texas and this order. A party is not excused from the requirements of a Rule or scheduling order by virtue of the fact that dispositive motions are pending, the party has not completed its investigation, the party challenges the sufficiency of the opposing party's disclosure, or because another party has failed to comply with this order or the rules.

Failure to comply with relevant provisions of the Local Rules, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or this order may result in the exclusion of evidence at trial, the imposition of sanctions by the court, or both. If a fellow member of the Bar makes a just request for cooperation or seeks scheduling accommodation,

pt

Ron Clark, United States District Judge